Programming Principles in Python (CSCI 503/490)

Control Statements

Dr. David Koop

(some slides adapted from Dr. Reva Freedman)





Identifiers

- A sequence of letters, digits, or underscores, but...
- Also includes unicode "letters", spacing marks, and decimals (e.g. Σ) • Must begin with a letter or underscore ()
- Why not a number?
- Case sensitive (a is different from A)
- Conventions:
 - Identifiers beginning with an underscore () are reserved for system use - Use underscores (a long variable), not camel-case (aLongVariable) - Keep identifier names less than 80 characters
- Cannot be reserved words









lypes

- Don't worry about types, but think about types
- Variables can "change types"
 - -a = 0
 - a = "abc"
 - a = 3.14159
- Actually, the name is being moved to a different value
- You can find out the type of the value stored at a variable v using type (v)
- Some literal types are determined by subtle differences
 - 1 vs 1. (integer vs. float)
 - 1.43 vs 1.43 j (float vs. imaginary)
- Can do explicit type conversion (int, str, float)









Assignment 2

• Out soon





Quiz Wednesday








Assignment

name; space can be updated with a new value

$$int x = 42;$$




D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

• Other languages: set aside memory space for value and give that space a

$$x = x + 1;$$

int $y = x;$













Assignment

Python variables are actually pointers to objects (names for values)

$$x = 42$$



D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024







7

Augmented Assignment

- i += 1 # same thing as i = i + 1
- +=, -=, *=, /=, //=, **=
- Python does not have ++ or --

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

Shorthand for mutation of a variable's value stored back in the same variable









Simultaneous Assignment

- Feature that doesn't appear in many other languages
- assignment

-a, b = 34 ** 2, 400 / 24

- Commas separate the variables and expressions
- Most useful for swapping variables

-a, b = b, a

How does this usually work?

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

Allows multiple expressions to be assigned to different variables with one









Simultaneous Assignment

In most languages, this requires another variable

$$-x_old = x$$

$$X = Y$$

- y = x old
- Simultaneous assignment leaves less room for error:

- X, Y = Y, X

- Also useful for unpacking a collection of values:
 - dateStr = "03/08/2014"monthStr, dayStr, yearStr = dateStr.split("/")





Assignment Expressions

- AKA the "walrus" operator :=
- Names a value that can be used but also referenced in the rest of the expression
- (my pi := 3.14159) * r ** 2 + a ** 0.5/my pi
- Use cases: if/while statement check than use, comprehensions
- Supported in Python 3.8+





Assignment Expressions

- Contentious discussion on adding to the language

 - Leads to different coding styles
- Adopted, and community moving on to best practices

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

- "There should be one-- and preferably only one --obvious way to do it"






D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

Control Statements





Boolean Expressions

- Type bool: True Or False
- Note capitalization!
- Comparison Operators: <, <=, >, >=, ==, !=
 - Double equals (==) checks for equal values,
 - Assignment (=) assigns values to variables
- Boolean operators: not, and, or
 - Different from many other languages (!, &&, ||)
- More:
 - is: exact same object (usually a_variable is None)
 - in: checks if a value is in a collection (34 in my_list)

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

=, ==, != Jal values, Variables

variable is None) Mon(34 in my_list)





if and else

- Blocks (suites) only executed if the condition is satisfied
- if <boolean expression>:
 <then-block>
- if <boolean expression>: <then-block>

else:

<else-block>

- Remember colon (:)
- Remember indentation

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

• if a < 34: b = 5 else: b = a - 34





elif is a shortcut

```
• if a < 10:
    print("Small")
else:
    if a < 100:
        print("Medium")
else:
        if a < 1000:
            print("Large")
else:
            print("X-Large")
```

Indentation is critical so else-if branches can become unwieldy (elif helps)

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

• if a < 10: print("Small") elif a < 100: print("Medium") elif a < 1000: print("Large") else: print("X-Large")





pass

- pass is a no-op
- Python doesn't allow an empty block so pass helps with this
- Used when commenting out code blocks
- if a < 10: print("Small") elif a < 100: print("Medium") elif a < 1000: pass else: print("X-Large")

print("Large") <- block would be empty (comments don't count)</pre>





17

while

- while repeats the execution of the block
- while <boolean expression>: <loop-block>
- Condition is checked at the beginning and before each repeat
- If condition is False, loop will never execute
- Don't use a while loop to iterate (use for loop instead)
- Example:
 - -d = 100while d > 0: a = get next input() d -= a





break and continue

- break: immediately exit the current loop
- the condition again
- while d > 0: a = get next input() if a > 100:break if a < 10:continue d -= a
- These are similar to goto statements in that they can jump from one statement to another part of the code but scoped to the current loop

• continue: stop loop execution and go back to the top of the loop, checking







The Go To Statement Debate

Go To Statement Considered Harmful

dynamic progress is only characterized when we also give to which call of the procedure we refer. With the inclusion of procedures Key Words and Phrases: go to statement, jump instruction, we can characterize the progress of the process via a sequence of branch instruction, conditional clause, alternative clause, repettextual indices, the length of this sequence being equal to the itive clause, program intelligibility, program sequencing dynamic depth of procedure calling. CR Categories: 4.22, 5.23, 5.24

EDITOR:

Let us now consider repetition clauses (like, while B repeat A or repeat A until B). Logically speaking, such clauses are now For a number of years I have been familiar with the observation superfluous because we can express repetition with the aid of

been urged to do so.

namic index," inexorably counting the ordinal number of the My first remark is that, although the programmer's activity corresponding current repetition. As repetition clauses (just as ends when he has constructed a correct program, the process procedure calls) may be applied nestedly, we find that now the taking place under control of his program is the true subject progress of the process can always be uniquely characterized by a matter of his activity, for it is this process that has to accomplish (mixed) sequence of textual and/or dynamic indices. the desired effect; it is this process that in its dynamic behavior The main point is that the values of these indices are outside has to satisfy the desired specifications. Yet, once the program has programmer's control; they are generated (either by the write-up been made, the "making" of the corresponding process is deleof his program or by the dynamic evolution of the process) whether gated to the machine. he wishes or not. They provide independent coordinates in which My second remark is that our intellectual noware are rather to departing the program of the process

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

"...I became convinced that the go to statement should be abolished from all 'higher level' programming languages... The go to statement as it stands is just too primitive; it is too much an invitation to make a mess of one's program."











that supported the supposition that GOTOs are harmful (I presume this is not because nobody has tried). Nonetheless, people

```
for i := 1 to n
do begin
 for j := 1 to n do
   if x[i, j]<>0
     then goto reject;
 writeln
('The first all-zero
                rowis', i);
 break;
reject: end;
many avoided GUIUS. Eight of
```

10 4 01ources. them produced 13- or 14-line prostudy grams using a flag to indicate when an all-zero row was found. on ore-(The other two programs were have become a religious doctrine,

i := 1;repeat i := 1;allzero := **true**; while (j <= n) and allzero</pre> do begin **if** x[i, j]<>0 then allzero := false; j := j + 1;end; i := i + 1;until (i>n) or allzero; $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{c}$ if $i \leq = n$ we then writeln lin ('The first all-zero eac Communications of the ACM 196 Ιh

I do not know if I can do anydeeply entrenched dogma. At least i := 1;repeat I can attempt to reopen the discusi := 1;sion by showing a clearcut inwhile $(j \le n)$ stance where **GOTO**s significantly and (with the

program with GUTUS is more complex." In short, the belief that **GOTO**s are harmful appears to have become a religious doctrine, unassailable by evidence.

('The first all-zero row is ', i - 1);


After reviewing the various **GOTO**-less versions, I was able to eliminate the flag and reduce the

```
i := 1;
repeat
 i := 1;
 while (j \le n)
 and (x[i, j] = 0) do
   j:=j+1;
 i := i + 1;
until (i > n) or (j > n);
if j > n
   then writeln
('The first all-zero
              row is', i - 1;
```

"All of any experiences compale the to part from the the organa of Goto-less programming. It has failed to prove its merit"

Frank Rubin The Contest Center *P.O. Box* 1660 Wappingers Falls. NY 12590











Programming Principles: break, continue, goto

- ACM the published a number of critiques of Rubin's letter, Dijkstra also wrote some notes on this: bugs, maybe the language is bad...
- Most computer scientists agree that the problem was over-use, not that the statement is never useful
- Break and continue are more structured gotos because they apply only to the current block
- Breaks and continues at the top of a loop are better
- Multi-level breaks are annoying (compare with return statements in functions)













Continue at the beginning of a loop

- Like elif, can help with indentation
- while $d \ge 0$: d = get data()if d is not None: # do stuff

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

• while $d \ge 0$: d = get data()if d is None: continue # do stuff









Loop Styles

- Loop-and-a-Half d = get data() # priming rd while check(d): # do stuff d = get data()
- Infinite-Loop-Break while True: d = get data()if not check(d): break # do stuff

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

• Better way?








Loop Styles

- Loop-and-a-Half
 d = get_data() # priming rd
 while check(d):
 # do stuff
 d = get_data()
- Infinite-Loop-Break
 while True:
 d = get_data()
 if not check(d):
 break
 # do stuff

D. Koop, CSCI 503/490, Fall 2024

Assignment Expression (Walrus) while check(d := get_data()): # do stuff





25

do-while

- do-while loops always execute at least once
- There is no do-while loop construct in Python
- So...

 - ... or move the break to the end of the loop

- can set the condition so that it is always True first time through the loop





